

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,074	12/03/2003	Robert W. Stadler	P-20485.00	4895	
27581 MEDTRONIC	7590 03/05/200 TNC	9	EXAMINER		
710 MEDTRO	NIC PARKWAY NE	ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/727,074	STADLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alyssa M. Alter	3762		

		Alyssa M. Alter	3762							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REF	THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The app app for	1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the saturatory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check piller box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL										
2. The filin	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMEND										
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ol>										
(d)	appeal; and/or  They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims							
(4)	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou diamino.							
4. 🗆 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,							
6. Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the						
hov The Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) iver the new or amended claims would be rejected is proventially as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of						
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
8. The	//T OR OTHER EVIDENCE e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, business applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).						
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \( \subseteq  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.										
12. 🔲 N	12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
		/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3762							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the pending claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the pending claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.